Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRADLEY C. BURCHFIELD,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALIBABA GROUP HOLDING LIMITED, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 22-cv-02925-HSG

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

Re: Dkt. No. 6

On May 17, 2022, Plaintiff Bradley C. Burchfield filed this civil action and an application to proceed in forma pauperis. See Dkt. Nos. 1, 2. On June 15, Judge Westmore granted Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis. Dkt. No. 11. However, in screening the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, Judge Westmore found that Plaintiff's allegations were insufficient to state a Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO") or false advertising claim. Id. at 2-3. Judge Westmore therefore dismissed Plaintiff's case for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Plaintiff was nevertheless given an opportunity to file an amended complaint by August 31, 2022. *Id.* at 3.

Plaintiff also filed a motion for temporary injunction. See Dkt. No. 6. However, Plaintiff cannot establish that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b) because there is no operative complaint. Plaintiff's complaint has been dismissed, and he has not yet filed an amended complaint. The Court therefore **DENIES** the motion for temporary restraining order without prejudice to refiling after he files an amended complaint.

27

28

//

United States District Court

Plaintiff is cautioned that if he fails to file an amended complaint by August 31, 2022, or if
the amended complaint fails to cure all the defects identified in the prior order, see Dkt. No. 11,
the complaint may be dismissed in its entirety without further leave to amend.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/16/2022

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge